

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/016,549	LAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Jamal	2643	

All Participants:

(1) Alexander Jamal. (3) _____.

(2) Thomas J. Onka (reg. number 42053). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2005

Time: 12pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested an amendment to claim 17 in order to more clearly define the invention. Applicant agreed and the claim was amended via examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)